SINGLE BENCH

3

1

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1411 OF 2006

PETITIONER

Mohd. A.Khan S/o Ajjimuddin Khan aged about 56 years r/o Raigarh Distt Raigarh (C.G)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India, Through – The Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi
2. The Deputy Commandant CISF Unit, R.H.P.P, Pipri, Sonbadhra (U.P.)
3. The Commandant, C.I.S.F. CISF Unit, R.H.P.P, Pipri, Sonbadhra (U.P.)
4. The Commandant, CISF Unit, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, (C.G)

P.R. No. 11464/06
Presented by Shri Rakesh Pandey
dated 21/3/06

R.S. Baghel
Central Govt. Counsel
21/03/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 1411 OF 2006

Petitioner : Md. A. Khan

Versus

Respondents : Union of India and others

Shri A.V. Shridhar, counsel for the petitioner.
Miss. Sharmila Singhai, counsel for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(28th March, 2006)

1. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner was promoted to the post of Assistant Sub Inspector and posted at B.S.P. Bhilai, where he reached to join on 16.1.2006. Despite the promotion order by way of movement order (Annexure P-6), the petitioner was not given joining at any post.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, seeks liberty of this Court to make a representation with a direction to the respondents to decide his representation within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.
3. Thus, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation to the competent authorities for giving effect to the promotion order dated 16.1.2006 (Annexure P-6), in accordance with law.

29

4. In the event, representation is made the same shall be considered and decided within a period of four weeks from the date of representation made by the petitioner.

5. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge